

Fossil Evidence for Evolution of the Shape and Color of Penguin Feathers

Julia A. Clarke,^{1*} Daniel T. Ksepka,^{2,3} Rodolfo Salas-Gismondi,⁴ Ali J. Altamirano,⁴ Matthew D. Shawkey,⁵ Liliana D'Alba,⁵ Jakob Vinther,⁶ Thomas J. DeVries,⁷ Patrice Baby^{8,9}

¹Department of Geological Sciences, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA. ²Department of Marine, Earth and Atmospheric Sciences, North Carolina State University, Raleigh NC 27695-8208, USA. ³Department of Paleontology, North Carolina Museum of Natural Sciences, Raleigh NC 27601-1029, USA. ⁴Departamento de Paleontología de Vertebrados, Museo de Historia Natural-UNMSM, Lima 14, Perú. ⁵Integrated Bioscience Program, University of Akron, Akron, OH 44325, USA. ⁶Department of Geology and Geophysics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06511, USA. ⁷Burke Museum of Natural History and Culture, University of Washington, Seattle WA 98195, USA. ⁸IRD; LMTG; 14 Av. Edouard Belin, F-31400 Toulouse, France. ⁹Université de Toulouse; UPS; LMTG; F-31400 Toulouse, France.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Julia_Clarke@jsg.utexas.edu

Penguin feathers are highly modified in form and function, but there have been no fossils to inform their evolution. A giant penguin with feathers was recovered from the late Eocene (~36 million years ago) of Peru. The fossil reveals that key feathering features including undifferentiated primary wing feathers and broad body contour feather shafts evolved early in the penguin lineage. Analyses of fossilized color-imparting melanosomes reveals that their dimensions were similar to those of non-penguin avian taxa and that the feathering may have been predominantly gray and reddish-brown. By contrast, the dark black-brown color of extant penguin feathers is generated by strikingly large, ellipsoidal melanosomes previously unknown for birds. The nanostructure of penguin feathers was thus modified after earlier macrostructural modifications of feather shape linked to aquatic flight.

During wing-propelled diving, penguins generate propulsive forces in a fluid environment ~800 times more dense and ~70 times more viscous than air (1). Recent fossil discoveries have yielded information on the sequence of early osteological changes in penguins accompanying the evolution of aquatic flight (2–5), but these specimens have not included feathers. Living penguin melanosome morphologies have not been described although the melanin they contain is generally known to provide both color and wear resistance to bird feathers (68). Here, we describe a giant fossil penguin with feathers recording preserved melanosome morphologies (9) and discuss the pattern and timing of major events in the evolution of penguin integument.

Systematic Paleontology: Aves Linnaeus 1758 *sensu* Gauthier 1986. Sphenisciformes Sharpe 1891 *sensu* Clarke et al. 2003. *Inkayacu paracasensis* new gen. and sp.

Etymology: *Inkayacu* - the Quechua, “Inka” for emperor and “yacu” for water; *paracasensis* for the Reserva Nacional de

Paracas, Peru, the type locality. **Holotype:** MUSM 1444, a nearly complete skeleton with wing feathering, body contour feathers, and pedal scales (Figs. 1 to 3) (10). **Locality and horizon:** Upper Eocene of Yumaque Point, Paracas Reserve, Peru (10). **Diagnosis:** *Inkayacu paracasensis* is diagnosed by the following combination of characters (autapomorphies within Sphenisciformes demarcated by *): paired grooves meeting at midline on dorsal surface of premaxilla* (Fig. 1:GR), articular surfaces of otic and squamosal head of quadrate contacting one another*, furcula with blade-like hypocleidium*, conspicuous n. coracobrachialis sulcus developed on the humerus (Fig. 1:CNS), femur with widened and sharply distally tapering medial condyle* and tab-like process projecting from posterior intramuscular ridge at midshaft*(Fig. 1: MC, T), weak proximal projection of cnemial crests of tibiotarsus (Fig. 1: CNE). See (10) for additional diagnosis, figures and description.

The holotype skeleton of *Inkayacu paracasensis* is disarticulated with the exception of regions with extensive soft tissue impressions (i.e., distal left flipper (Fig. 2) and distal left pes (10)). The fossil represents an animal with an estimated swimming length of 1.5m. It has a hyper-elongate beak with a grooved tip and relatively gracile wing bones compared to other giant penguins [e.g., *Icadyptes* (11)]. Conservative estimates of body mass for *Inkayacu* (~54.6–59.7 kg) are approximately twice the average mass of the Emperor Penguin (12); it is among the largest described fossil penguins (10).

Isolated contour feathers exhibit the broadened rachis of extant penguins. They grade from a light colored (pale gray) base to a dark gray-black distal end (Fig. 3), where the rachis narrows and a cluster of distally directed, clumped darker barbs are developed at the tip. This coloration pattern is observed in penguins and other birds resulting from increasing melanization towards the tip (13). Preserved

portions of contour feathers and estimates from rachis diameter are consistent with a maximum length of approximately 3 cm, within the range of extant penguin body contour feathers (10), but notably shorter than contour feathers from several smaller-bodied extant high latitude species (10). As in extant penguins, these feathers are pennaceous over most of their length; barbs are relatively evenly spaced, although barbule structure is not visible.

Preserved feathering along the left distal wing is exposed in dorsal view (Fig. 2) and appears to be close to life position with only slight peri-depositional posterior movement of the distal carpometacarpus. At the leading edge, texturing of a thin layer of gray matrix records the tips of tiny, closely packed covert feathers with melanosomes (Fig. 2, A to C). This darker layer continues over most of the carpometacarpus and distal radius. Where it split from the dorsal carpometacarpal surface, the underlying, light-colored matrix is textured with small regularly distributed raised knobs (Fig. 2A). Thin, paired impressions in this pale matrix preserve melanosomes (Fig. 2, D and E) that we interpret as melanized bases of the short, darker-tipped covert feathers. Similarly, extant penguin coverts that exhibit dark tips are commonly white proximally but bear melanin stripes where the feathers enters the skin. The absence of melanosomes in the light matrix surrounding these marks in the fossil is consistent with similarly white proximal feathers (9) in *Inkayacu*. Presence of intact lesser covert feather bases and tips (in part and counterpart) represents a dimensionality rare in feather preservation.

Dorsal lesser-wing covert feathers partially overlies the distal wing bones (Fig. 2). Layers of elongate under-wing coverts pass ventral to the ulna and carpometacarpus. The morphology and dark tonality of the feathers originating from the carpometacarpus and ulna, which comprise the trailing edge of the wing, are identical (Fig. 2, A and H). The homology of any one stacked layer of these feathers with the primaries and secondaries (remiges) of other birds is uncertain, but if one layer is considered to represent the remiges, at least one layer of coverts subequal in length must be present. All of these feathers show a broadened rachis and are relatively larger than in any extant penguin. The centers of rachises are light with darker edges. Many barbs are visible in distal feathers, and fewer are decipherable at midshaft. Seven incomplete feathers preserved in the matrix overlying the secondaries appear to be associated with the distal humerus.

All preserved feather types in *Inkayacu* record melanosomes (Figs. 2 and 3) (10) of dimensions within the range for avian taxa other than extant penguins (10). Although the length of extant penguin melanosomes was similar to other eumelanosomes, (mean: ~900nm), their width (mean: ~440nm) was far greater than all other Aves sampled

(mean: ~300nm) and, in some case approached their length (table S3). We thus analyzed them as a separate class in a discriminant analysis (10). We used four properties of melanosome morphology and distribution—long axis variation, short axis skew, aspect ratio, and density—to estimate the color predicted from the fossil feather samples (10). All 6 *Inkayacu* samples were assigned a gray or reddish-brown color with high probability, and none clustered with extant penguin samples.

The *Inkayacu* specimen provides evidence for an Eocene giant penguin clade (Fig. 4, Clade X) with a wide Southern Ocean distribution and for a third early stem penguin dispersal to low latitudes (11). Phylogenetic analysis of a combined dataset (10) finds the new species allied with three early to late Eocene species from New Zealand and Antarctica (10). The most recent common ancestor of *Inkayacu* and living penguins (Spheniscidae) is thus inferred to be present by the early Eocene and to have shared the lack of differentiation between stacked remiges and greater coverts, densely packed, squamiform lesser coverts, and broad flattened contour feather rachises seen in extant penguins. Early modifications in the wing feathering seen in *Inkayacu* created a narrow streamlined flipper and changed the hydrodynamic properties of the body feathers. Broad flat contour feather rachises create less turbulent flow (12), an effect hypothesized to explain a lower observed drag coefficient than predicted from streamlined penguin body shape alone (14). They also facilitate reduction of intraplumage air (15). Most of the insulating properties of extant penguin contour feathers derive from their plumulaceous afterfeathers (16), not preserved or not present in *Inkayacu*.

Inkayacu shows that the large, sub-round melanosomes of extant penguin feathers were absent early in penguin evolution. At minimum, an increase in melanosome size and a decrease in their aspect ratio occurred after the early Eocene divergence of the clade including *Inkayacu* (Fig. 4: Clade X) and prior to the origin of extant penguins (Spheniscidae) by the late Miocene (5). The unique proportions of extant penguin melanosomes, the packing of these melanosomes into clusters within the barbs and rachis (10), and yellow, pterin-like pigmentation (17) are so far only known in the penguin crown clade.

Shifts in penguin plumage coloration indicated by the fossil may be linked to differences in ecology, thermoregulatory demands (18), or the more recent, predominantly Neogene, diversification of their primary mammalian predators (19, 20). However, they do not explain the aberrant melanosome morphology associated with extant penguin brown-black color. Indeed, rather than selection for color, these changes may represent an unanticipated response to the hydrodynamic demands of underwater propulsion. Low

aspect ratio, large size and clustered melanosome distribution (10) may affect melanin packing and feather material properties. Melanin confers resistance to fracture (6, 21, 22), important to materials like feathers subjected to cyclical loading (22). Selective pressures for the color and material properties of penguin feathers could thus have led to nanoscale changes in melanosome morphology.

References and Notes

1. M. Denny, *Air and Water* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1993).
2. R. E. Fordyce, C. M. Jones, in *Penguin Biology* L. S. Davis, J. T. Darby, Eds. (Academic Press, San Diego, 1990) pp. 419-226.
3. K. E. Slack *et al.*, *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **23**, 1144 (2006).
4. P. Jadwiszczak, *Polish Polar Research* **30**, 3 (2009).
5. D. T. Ksepka, J. A. Clarke, *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* **337**, 1 (2010).
6. E.H. Burtt, Jr (1986). *Ornithological Monographs* **38**, 1 (1986)
7. K. J. McGraw, in *Bird Coloration, Vol.1, Mechanisms and Measurements* G. E. Hill, K. J. McGraw (Harvard Univ. Press, Boston, 2006) pp. 243-294.
8. B. Stonehouse, *The Biology of Penguins* (Macmillan, London, 1975).
9. J. Vinther, D. E. G. Briggs, R. O. Prum, V. Saranathan, *Biology Letters* **4**, 522 (2008).
10. Materials and methods are available as supporting material on Science Online.
11. J. A. Clarke *et al.*, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **104**, 11545 (2007).
12. B. Stonehouse, *Advances in Ecological Research* **4**, 131 (1967).
13. W. L. N. Tickell, *Waterbirds* **26**, 1 (2003).
14. J. Lovvorn, G. A. Liggins, M. H. Borstad, S. M. Calisal, J. Mikkelsen, *Journal of Experimental Biology* **204**, 1547 (2001).
15. R. P. Wilson, K. Hustler, P. G. Ryan, A. E. Burger, E. C. Noldeke, *American Naturalist* **140**, 179 (1992).
16. C. Dawson, J. F. V. Vincent, G. Jeronimidis, G. Rice, P. Forshaw, *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **199**, 291 (1999).
17. K. J. McGraw *et al.*, *Pigment Cell Research* **20**, 301 (2007).
18. H. M. Roland, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* **364**, 519 (2009).
19. A. Berta, in *Encyclopedia of Marine Mammals, Second Edition* W. Perrin, B. Würsing, J. G. M. Thewissen, Eds. (Academic Press, San Diego, 2009) pp. **861-868**.
20. U. Arnason, *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **41**, 345, (2006).
21. A. A. Voitkevich, *The feathers and plumage of birds*. (October House, New York, 1966).
22. R. H. Bonser, P. P. Purslow, *Journal of Experimental Biology* **198**, 1029 (1995).
23. K. Browne helped produce the figures; W. Aguirre, P. Brinkman, V. Schneider, prepared the fossil. K. Middleton, M. Stucchi provided discussion. P. Unitt, K. Zyskowski, B. Wang, J. Tejada, J. Reyes, E. Díaz, Z. Jiang provided professional assistance. The research was funded by the National Science Foundation (DEB-0949897, DEB-0949899) the National Geographic Society Expeditions Council, AFOSR (FA9550-09-1-0159), and University of Akron startup funds. MUSM 1444 is permanently deposited at the Museo de Historia Natural-UNMSM, Lima, Peru.

Supporting Online Material

www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/science.1193604/DC1

Materials and Methods

SOM Text

Figs. S1 to S9

Tables S1 to S8

References

Phylogenetic data set

6 June 2010; accepted 10 September 2010

Published online 30 September 2010;

10.1126/science.1193604

Include this information when citing this paper.

Fig. 1. Reconstruction of *Inkayacu paracasensis* in oblique anterior view showing recovered elements in white and photographs of the holotype specimen (MUSM 1444): skull and mandible in (A and C) dorsal (B) ventral and (D) lateral views; scapula in (E) lateral view and humerus in (F) posterior, (G) ventral, (H) anterior and (I) distal views; femur in (J) dorsal, (K) medial, (L) ventral and (M) distal views; patella in (N) anterior view; tibiotarsus in (O) lateral view and tarsometatarsus in (P) proximal, (Q) distal, (R) anterior and (S) plantar views. AC: acromion, AMB: pathway of m. ambiens tendon, ATR: anterior trochlear process, CBC: m. coracobrachialis caudalis insertion, CF: fibular crest, CNE: cnemial crests, CNS: coracobrachialis nerve sulcus, CT: coracoid tuberosity, DEN: dentary, GR: groove on premaxilla, IL: m. iliofemoralis and ilioprochantericus insertions, LF: lacrimal facet, LPVF: lateral proximal vascular foramen, MHC: medial hypotarsal crest, MC: medial condyle, MTR: middle trochlear process, PIII-1: manual phalanx III-1, PAL: palatine, PATH: pathology, PF: pectoralis fossa, PO: postorbital process, PS: parasphenoid rostrum, PTR: posterior trochlear process, SC: m. supracoracoideus insertion, SF: salt gland fossa, SU: surangular, T: tab-like process, TF: temporal fossa, V: vomer. Asterisks demarcate autapomorphies referenced in the diagnosis (10).

Fig. 2. The wing feathering of *Inkayacu paracasensis*: (A) photograph and line drawing of the left wing (scale = 1 cm) showing location of insets and samples (circles) taken for SEM; (B) close up of the counterpart showing the surface of imbricated short covert feathers from the leading edge of the wing and (C) SEM of melanosomes in B; (D) close up of the counterpart to the surface of the carpometacarpus with preserved melanized bases of covert feathers and (E) SEM of melanosomes from feather bases in D (melanosomes were not observed in surrounding matrix); (F) close up of tertiaries and (G) SEM of melanosomes in F; (H) secondaries and (I) SEM of melanosomes from H. Scale bar for SEM images = 1 μ m and for insets = 3mm. mc, carpometacarpus r, radius; rl, radiale, t, tibiotarsus; u, ulna; ul, ulnare.

Fig. 3. Comparison of melanosome proportions and body contour feather morphology in (A and B). *Inkayacu paracasensis* and (C and D) representative extant penguins. (A) SEM of melanosomes preserved in the tip of (B) body contour feathers of *Inkayacu paracasensis*; (C) SEM of large, ellipsoidal melanosomes (shown: *Eudyptula minor*) from (D) similarly shaped body contour feathers (shown: *Aptenodytes forsteri*) in the penguin crown clade.

Fig. 4. Phylogenetic placement of *Inkayacu paracasensis* in a strict consensus cladogram of 45 MPTs (Crown clade collapsed; see 10 for details of analysis). Placement in a clade of Eocene giant penguins (X) implies an origin for the modified wing feathering, undifferentiated remiges, and broad rachis of body contour feathers (insets) no later than the early Eocene (10). Uniquely enlarged melanosomes are phylogenetically supported at minimum as a novelty of the penguin crown clade (insets). Stratigraphic distributions from (5). Fossil distributions: Antarctica: blue; New Zealand: green; Africa: dark gray; South America: red.







